arXiv:cond-mat/9901074v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 10 Jan 1999

To Appear in <<Europhysics Letters>>

Integrable impurities in Hubbard chain with the open boundary condition

Zhan-Ning Hu^{a,*} **and Fu-Cho Pu**^{a,b,†}
^{a} Institute of Physics and Center for Condensed Matter Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China ‡
^{b} Department of Physics, Guangzhou teacher colleague, Guangzhou 510400, China

PACS. 72.10.Fk - Scattering by point defects, dislocations, surfaces, and other imperfections (including Kondo effect).
PACS. 71.10.Fd Lattice fermion models (Hubbard model, etc.).
PACS. 71.27.+a Strongly correlated electron systems; heavy fermions.

Abstract

The Kondo problem of two impurities in 1D strongly correlated electron system within the framework of the open boundary Hubbard chain is solved and the impurities, coupled to the ends of the electron system, are introduced by their scattering matrices with electrons so that the boundary matrices satisfy the reflecting integrability condition. The finite size correction of the ground state energy is obtained due to the impurities. Exact expressions for the low temperature specific heat contributed by the charge and spin parts of the magnetic impurities are derived. The Pauli susceptibility and the Kondo temperature are given explicitly. The Kondo temperature is inversely proportional to the density of electrons.

***E-mail: huzn@aphy.iphy.ac.cn**
†**E-mail: pufc@aphy.iphy.ac.cn**
‡ mail address

In recent years the Kondo problem [1] in the low dimensional strongly corrected electron systems has been the focus of intense activity and the low temperature properties of such systems in one spatial dimension can be described in terms of a Luttinger liquid [2]. The electron- electron interaction is discarded in the original treatments of Kondo problem, which is reasonable in three dimensions where the interacting electron system is described by Fermi liquid. The recent advances in semiconductor technology enable to fabricate very narrow quantum wire which can be considered as one-dimensional system and furnishes a real Luttinger liquid. Edge states in a 2D electron gas for fractional quantum Hall effect can also be regarded as Luttinger liquid [3]. Around these subjects there are intense efforts and much progress has been made from different approaches by the use of the bosonization and renormalization techniques [4,5], boundary conformal field theory [6], the scaling method [7] and the density matrix renormalization group calculations.

Kondo impurities play an important role in strongly electron models, especially in one dimensional system, which is due to only a small amount of defects may change the properties of the system, and the impurities usually destroy the integrability of the pure system when they are introduced. In the pioneered work, Andrei and Johannesson [8] introduced a spin-S impurity into the isotropic Heisenberg chain with preserving the integrability of the system. It was developed in Ref. [9] by Lee and Schlottmann for the host chains with the higher spin values. Eckle, Punnoose and Römer studied some physical properties of the model [10]. Recently, Bedüfig *et al* has solved an integrable impurity model where the impurity is coupled to $t-J$ chain with both spin and charge degrees of freedom [11]. The impurity is introduced through a local vertices as in Ref. [8]. Schlottmann and Zvyagin introduce the impurity in supersymmetric $t-J$ model via its scattering matrix with the itinerant electrons [12] and the Hamiltonian of the system can be constructed by the transfer matrix. They have discussed also the magnetic impurities embedded in the Hubbard model [13]. For all these cases the *periodic* conditions have been imposed on the electron host and the impurity models suffer the lack of backward scattering. Generally speaking, the impurities can divide a 'pure' system into several part with the impurities located at the ends of the every part. And based on Kane and Fisher's observation [5], we see it is advantageous to use open boundary problem with the impurities at open ends to study the problem of impurities coupled with strongly-correlated electron system. Indeed, this program has been used for $\delta-$ interacting fermi system in Ref. [14] , $t-J$ model in Refs. [15-18] and Heisenberg spin chains in Ref. [19–21] with the *open* boundary conditions.

The one-dimensional Hubbard chain describes the strongly correlated electron system and there are many works since the exact solution was found by Lieb and Wu [22] with the periodic condition. The one-dimensional Hubbard chain with open boundary conditions [23], of boundary chemical potentials [24] and boundary magnetic fields [25,26], is solvable also by the use of the Bethe ansatz method. Recently, Eβler and Frahm [27] study the singularities in X-ray absorption spectra of the one-dimensional Hubbard model and the $t-J$ chain and some thermodynamic properties of the model at zero temperature. There are various complex solutions based on the Bethe ansatz equations and described the boundary bound states for antiholons, spinnons, and pairs of electrons, respectively [28]. The finite-size spectrum for the relevant boundary conditions is given in Refs. [29,24,27]. The effect of boundary point contact potentials on the coherent mesoscopic oscillations is studied by Frahm and Zvyagin [30] for the strongly correlated quantum wires.

In this letter, we devote to study the magnetic impurities in the *open* boundary Hubbard chain by the Bethe ansatz technique and to discuss the low temperature properties contributed by impurities with the use of the Landau-Luttinger description. The quantum inverse scattering method [31] is adapted to solve the eigenvalue problem of the transfer matrix which determine the Hamiltonian of the impurity model. As is well- known, the scattering matrix for two electrons in the Hubbard chain is

$$S_{ij}(k_i,k_j) = \frac{\sin k_i - \sin k_j - \frac{iU}{2}P_{ij}}{\sin k_i - \sin k_j - \frac{iU}{2}} \tag{1}$$

where P_{ij} is the permutation operator of the electrons. The wave function of the chain in region $0 \leq x_{Q1} \leq x_{Q2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{QN} \leq G-1$ has the form:

$$\Psi_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\cdots,\sigma_N}(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_N)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{P \\ r_1 \cdots r_N = \pm 1}} \varepsilon_P \varepsilon_r A_{\sigma_{Q1}, \cdots, \sigma_{QN}} (r_{PQ1} k_{PQ1}, \cdots, r_{PQN} k_{PQN}) \exp[i \sum_{j=1}^{N} r_{Pj} k_{Pj} x_j]] \tag{2}$$

where $\varepsilon_P = 1\ (-1)$ when the parity of P is even (odd), $\varepsilon_r = \prod_{j=1}^{N} r$ in which r takes the value $+1$or -1, which gives that $A_{\cdots\sigma_i,\sigma_j\cdots}(\cdots k_i, k_j \cdots) = S_{ij}(k_i, k_j) \times A_{\cdots\sigma_j,\sigma_i\cdots}(\cdots k_j, k_i \cdots)$. The impurities are coupled to the two ends of the system and they are introduced by the scattering matrix with electrons,

$$S_{L,R}(k_j, \sigma_j) = \frac{\sin k_j - iC_{L,R} - \frac{iU}{2} P_{L,R\ j}}{\sin k_j - iC_{L,R} - \frac{iU}{2}}, \tag{3}$$

so that the boundary matrices take their form as

$$R_L(k_j, \sigma_j) = e^{i\varphi_L(k_j)} \frac{\sin k_j - iC_L - \frac{iU}{2} P_{L\ j}}{\sin k_j + iC_L + \frac{iU}{2} P_{L\ j}}, \tag{4}$$

$$R_R(-k_j, \sigma_j) = e^{-2ik_j(G+1)} e^{i\varphi_R(k_j)} \frac{\sin k_j - iC_R - \frac{iU}{2} P_{R\ j}}{\sin k_j + iC_R + \frac{iU}{2} P_{R\ j}} \tag{5}$$

where the interacting parameters $C_{L,R}$ is arbitrary. The boundary R matrix satisfies the reflecting Yang-Baxter equation and the eigenvalue problem of the system can be reduced to the form

$$\begin{aligned} &Tr_\tau \left[T(\lambda) T^{-1}(-\lambda) \right] \Big|_{\lambda = \sin k_j} \Phi \\ &= \frac{i\frac{U}{2} - \sin k_j}{i\frac{U}{4} - \sin k_j} \frac{\sin k_j + iC_L + \frac{iU}{2}}{\sin k_j - iC_L - \frac{iU}{2}} \frac{\sin k_j + iC_R + \frac{iU}{2}}{\sin k_j - iC_R - \frac{iU}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot e^{-i\{\varphi_L(k_j) + \varphi_R(k_j)\}} e^{2ik_j(G+1)} \Phi \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

with the transfer matrix defined by

$$T(\lambda) = S_{\tau j}(\lambda) S_{\tau 0}(\lambda) \cdots S_{\tau j-1}(\lambda) S_{\tau j+1}(\lambda) \cdots S_{\tau N+1}(\lambda),$$

and $S_{\tau l}(\lambda) = (\lambda - \sin k_l - iUP_{\tau l}/2) / (\lambda - \sin k_l - iU/2)$ with $\sin k_0 = iC_L$, $\sin k_{N+1} = iC_R$ for $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N+1$. Notice that the state function Φ is related to the coefficient $A_{\sigma_{Q1}, \sigma_{Q2}, \cdots, \sigma_{QN}}(r_{PQ1} k_{PQ1}, r_{PQ2} k_{PQ2}, \cdots, r_{PQN} k_{PQN})$, which is dependent on also the spins of magnetic impurities and is suppressed for brevity. P_{j0} and P_{jN+1} are the permutation operators between the magnetic impurities and the conduction electrons. The Hamiltonian of the model has the form

$$H = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma = \downarrow\uparrow} C_{i\sigma}^{+} C_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + J_L \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d}_L + V_L n_1 + J_R \mathbf{S}_G \cdot \mathbf{d}_R + V_R n_G \tag{7}$$

where d_L and d_R are the spin operators of the impurities with the spins $1/2$. The interacting constant $J_{L,R}$ and the scattering potential $V_{L,R}$ of the impurities can be expressed as $J_{L,R} = 4V_{L,R}/3 = -2/(1 + C_{L,R}^2)$ when $U = 2$ and $J_{L,R} = 4UV_{L,R}/(4 + U) = U \left(U^2 - 4C_{L,R}^2 - 8 + \sqrt{16C_{L,R}^4 - 8C_{L,R}^2 U^2 + 64C_{L,R}^2 + U^4} \right) / \left(8 - 2U^2 \right)$ when $U \neq 2$ where we have assumed that the electrons scatter with the impurities with the small momentums. From the Bethe ansatz equations of this system with the impurities, an immediate consequence is that the total momentum of the system has the finite size correction $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{l=0,L,R} \theta(q_j, 2u + C_l) / (2G)$, contributed by the magnetic impurities coupled to the open boundary fermions system. For the Hubbard ring, I_j and J_α are consecutive integers (or half-odd integers) centered around the origin and satisfying $\sum_j k_j = 0$ of the ground state. Now the magnetic impurities affect the distributions of I_j and J_α and the index α runs from $-M$ to M which is different from the periodic case.

In the thermodynamical limits: $N \to +\infty$, $G \to +\infty$, $M \to +\infty$ with the ratios N/G, M/G kept finite, the real numbers k and λ are distributed continuously in the ranges $-Q$ to $Q \leq \pi$ and $-B$ to $B \leq +\infty$ with the density functions $\rho(k)$ and $\sigma(\lambda)$, respectively. The distributional functions satisfy the coupled integral equations

$$\rho(k) + \frac{\cos k}{2G} \sum_{l=L,R} a(q, 2u + C_l) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{\cos k}{2} \int_{-B}^{B} \sigma(\lambda) \left[a(q - \lambda, u) + a(q + \lambda, u) \right] d\lambda, \tag{8}$$

$$\sigma(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=\pm 1} \int_{-B}^{B} \sigma(\lambda') \, a(\lambda - l\lambda', 2u) \, d\lambda' = \frac{\sigma^G(\lambda)}{2G} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=\pm 1} \int_{-Q}^{Q} \rho(k) \, a(\lambda - lk, u) \, dk \tag{9}$$

with $\sigma^G(\lambda) = a(\lambda, u) + a(\lambda, u + C_l) + a(\lambda, u - C_l) + a(\lambda, u + C_R) + a(\lambda, u - C_R)$ where $a(q, \eta) = \pi^{-1}\eta / \left(q^2 + \eta^2\right)$. Q and B are the cutoffs of k and λ , respectively, for the ground state and they satisfy that $\int_{-Q}^{Q} \rho(k) \, dk = N/G$ and $\int_{-B}^{B} \sigma(\lambda) \, d\lambda = M/G$. The ground state energy is $E/G = -2 \int_{-Q}^{Q} \rho(k) \cos k dk$. For the half-filled band $Q = \pi$ and $N/G = 1$. The number of the down spins is a half of that for the conduction electrons in the system. To see this, by Fourior transformation of the second Bethe ansatz equation and assuming that the distributed functions are the even functions, we have that $\int_{-Q}^{Q} \rho(k) \, dk = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(\lambda) \, d\lambda$ with the choice of $\omega = 0$ and $G \to +\infty$. Then the magnetization is that $S_z = (N + 2 - 2M)/2 = 1$, which is different from the pure system. The density functions are

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(\omega) = \frac{J_0(\omega)}{2\cosh(u\omega)} + \frac{1}{2G} \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}^G(\omega)}{1 + \widetilde{a}(\omega, 2u)}, \tag{10}$$

$$\rho(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{\cos k}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\cos(\omega q) \, J_0(\omega)}{1 + e^{2u\omega}} - \frac{\rho^G(k)}{2G} + \frac{1}{2G} \frac{\cos k}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}^G(\omega) \, e^{-iq\omega - |u\omega|}}{1 + \widetilde{a}(\omega, 2u)} \tag{11}$$

where $\rho^G(k) = \cos k \sum_{l=L,R} a(q, 2u + C_l)$ and we have used that $u > 0$ and J_0 is the Bessel function. The terms with factor $1/(2G)$ describe the finite size corrections due to impurities. Then the finite size correction for the ground state energy due to the impurities is

$$E' = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \cos k \rho^G(k) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{J_1(\omega) \widetilde{\sigma}^G(\omega)}{2\omega \cosh(u\omega)} \tag{12}$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}^G(\omega)$ is the Fourior transformation of the function $\sigma^G(\lambda)$. In order to investigate the conductivity properties of the ground state, we should computer the chemical potentials μ_+ and μ_- (see Ref. [22]). By the duality of the particles and holes in the system, for the case of the half-filled band, it should be derived that $\Delta = U - 2\mu_-$ with

$$\frac{\mu_-}{G} = -4 \int_0^\pi dk \cos k \left[\rho(k) - \rho(k)' \right] + \frac{2}{G} \tag{13}$$

where the density function $\rho(k)'$ is determined by the coupled integral equations (8) and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda' \sigma(\lambda') \, a(\lambda - \lambda', 2u) + \sigma(\lambda) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \rho(k) \, a(\lambda - q, u) + \frac{\sigma^G(\lambda) - 2a(\lambda, u)}{2G}.$$

It is due to that a hole with $k_h = \pi$ and $\lambda \to \infty$ appeared comparing with the 'half-filled' band and this gives the term $a(\lambda, u)/G$ in the above equation and term $2/G$ in relation (13). The interesting thing is that the impurities do not change the conductivity property of the system for the ground state although the density functions have been corrected into the forms (10) and (11).

The other physical properties of the system can be discussed by evaluating its thermodynamics from the Bethe ansatz equations. As an alternative, both more practically and more physically, we now use the picture of the Landau-Luttinger liquid, putting forward by Carmelo and co- workers [32] , to determine the magnetic contributions to the specific heat, susceptibility and Kondo temperature. The densities of the states of the quasiparticles at the Fermi energy $E_F = 0$ are described by

$$N_c(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi v_c}\left[1 + \frac{1}{2G}\frac{\delta\rho\left(k_0\right)}{\rho\left(k_0\right)}\right], \quad N_s(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi v_s}\left[1 + \frac{1}{2G}\frac{\delta\sigma\left(\infty\right)}{\sigma\left(\infty\right)}\right],$$

where v_c and v_s are the velocities of the charge and spin fluctuations, respectively. ρ and σ are the distributed functions in the infinite limit. And $\delta\rho$, $\delta\sigma$ are the finite-size corrections due to impurities. It means that the density functions are denoted by $\rho + \delta\rho/\left(2G\right)$ and $\sigma + \delta\sigma/\left(2G\right)$ of parameters k and λ , respectively. The densities of the states determine the low- temperature behaviors of the system by the use of the standard expressions of the Fermi-liquid theory and we get the contributions to the Kondo effects due to the magnetic impurities as the following form,

$$\delta C = \frac{\pi\delta\rho\left(k_0\right)}{6Gv_c\rho\left(k_0\right)}T + \frac{\pi\delta\sigma\left(\infty\right)}{6Gv_s\sigma\left(\infty\right)}T, \tag{14}$$

where the specific heat comes from the charges and the spins. The finite-size correction to the susceptibility is $\delta\chi = \chi_0\delta\sigma\left(\infty\right)/\left[G\sigma\left(\infty\right)\right]$ where χ_0 is the susceptibility in the bulk. The Kondo temperature T_k, corresponding to the Fermi temperature in the local Fermi liquid generated by the impurities, can be derived from the impurity specific heat contributed by the spin sector. It has the form $T_k = 2G\sigma\left(\infty\right)/\left[\pi n\delta\sigma\left(\infty\right)\right]$ where n is the density of the electrons in the system. Furthermore, under the limits of the parameters $C_R = C_L = 0$, the specific heat due to the spin of the impurity, the susceptibility and the Kondo temperature are

$$\delta C_s = \frac{5\pi T}{6Gv_sJ_0\left(i\pi\right)}, \delta\chi = \frac{5}{GJ_0\left(i\pi\right)}\chi_0, T_k = \frac{2GJ_0\left(i\pi\right)}{5n\pi}.$$

In this case we have that $J_{L,R} = 4UV_{L,R}/(4+U) = -U$. Notice that the Kondo temperature T_k is linear in the $1/n$ and this property is similar as the one in Ref. [29]. The specific heat contributed by the charge of the impurities have the form:

$$\delta C_c = \frac{\pi\left[\ln 2 + 2\beta\left(3/2\right)\right]}{6Gv_cu\left[2\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(-1\right)^{l-1}\left(1+4u^2l^2\right)^{-1/2} - 1\right]}T \tag{15}$$

with $C_R = C_L = U/4$ and under the other cases the specific heat δC_c due to impurities have the similar expressions at the low temperature. Here the β function is defined by $\beta\left(x\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(-1\right)^k/\left(x+k\right)$, and $\beta\left(3/2\right) \approx 0.429\,2$.

In summary, we have studied the low energy properties of the Kondo problem in a 1D chain of strongly interacting electrons described by the open boundary Hubbard model. The magnetic impurities are coupled to the ends of the system and they are introduced by the scattering matrices with the electrons. This is the first approach to study the impurity properties in the *open* boundary Hubbard chain. The finite size correction of the ground state energy is obtained and we find that the magnetic impurities do not change the conductivity for the ground state although the distributed functions have been changed. By the use of the Landau-Luttinger liquid description for the Kondo problem, we obtained the expressions of the low-temperature specific heat, the susceptibility and the Kondo temperature. The Kondo temperature is inversely proportional to the density of electrons. Finally, we point out that the integrability of the impurity model is preserved due to the boundary matrices satisfy the reflection equation. The corresponding Hamiltonian has been written down explicitly when the electrons scatter with the impurities with the small momentums, which has a simple and compact form. The general expression of the Hamiltonian can be constructed with the use of the quantum inverse scattering method and we wish to remain it as an open problem for the further investigations.

---

[1] Kondo J., *Prog.Theor. Phys.,* **32** (1964) 37; *Solid State Phys.,* **23** (1969) 183.

[2] Luttinger J. M. , *J. Math. Phys.*, **4** (1963) 1154; Mattis D. C. and Lieb E. H., *J. Math. Phys.*, **6** (1965) 304; Haldane F. D., *ibid.*, **45** (1980) 1358.

[3] Wen X. G., *Phys. Rev. B*, **44** (1991) 5708.

[4] Lee D. -H. and Toner J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.,* **69** (1992) 3378.

[5] Kane C. L. and Fisher M. P. A., Phys. *Rev. Lett.*, **68** (1992) 1220; *Phys. Rev. B,* **46** (1992) 15233.

[6] Fröjdh P. and Johannesson H., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **75** (1995) 300.

[7] Furusaki A. and Nagaosa N., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **72** (1994) 892.

[8] Andrei N. and Johnesson H., *Phys. Lett. A*, **100** (1984) 108.

[9] Lee K. J. B. and Schlottmann P., *Phys. Rev. B*, **37** (1988) 379; Schlottmann P., J. *Phys. Condens. Matter*, **3** (1991) 6617.

[10] Eckle H. -P., Punnoose A. and Römer R. A., *Europhys. Lett.*, **39** (1997) 293.

[11] Bedürftig G. , Eβ ler F. H. L., Frahm H., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **77** (1996) 5098; *Nucl. Phys. B,* **489** (1997) 697.

[12] Schlottmann P. and Zvyagin A. A., *Phys. Rev. B,* **55** (1997) 5027.

[13] Zvyagin A. A. and Schlottmann P., *Phys. Rev. B*, **56** (1997) 300.

[14] Wang Y. and Voigt J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **77** (1996) 4934.

[15] Wang Y. , Dai J., Hu Z. N. and Pu F. C., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **79** (1997) 1901.

[16] Hu Z. N., Pu F. C. and Wang Y., *J. Phys. A.*, **31** (1998) 5241.

[17] Hu Z. N. and Pu F. C., preprint (1998).

[18] Zvyagin A. A. , *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **79** (1997) 4641.

[19] Wang Y. , *Phys. Rev. B*, **56** (1997) 14045.

[20] Hu Z. N. and Pu F. C., Phys. Rev. B **58** (1998) R2925.

[21] Hu Z. N., preprint (1998); Chen S. , Wang Y. and Pu F. C., *J. Phys. A.*, **31** (1998) 4619.

[22] Lieb E. H. and Wu F.Y. , *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **20** (1968) 1445.

[23] Schulz H., *J. Phys. C,* **18** (1985) 581.

[24] Asakawa H. and Suzuki M., *J. Phys. A*, **29** (1996) 225.

[25] Shiroishi M. and Wadati M., *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, **66** (1997) 1.

[26] Deguchi T. and Yue R. (unpublished).

[27] Eβler F. H. L. and Frahm H., *Phys. Rev. B,* **56** (1997) 6631.

[28] Bedürftig G. and Frahm H., *J. Phys. A*, **30** (1997) 4139.

[29] Woynarovich F., *J. Phys. A*, **22** (1989) 4443.

[30] Frahm H. and ZvyaginA. A., *Phys. Rev. B,* **55** (1997) 1341.

[31] Korepin V. E., Izergin A. G. and Bogoliubov N. M., *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method, Correlation Functions and Algebraic Bethe Ansatz* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

[32] Carmelo J. and Ovchinnikov A. A., *J. Phys. Condens. Matter,* **3** (1991) 757; Carmelo J., Horsch P., Bares P. A. and Ovchinnikov A. A., *Phys. Rev. B,* **44** (1991) 9967.

[33] Nozières P., *J. Low Temp. Phys.,* **17** (1974) 31.